IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 26240 of 2003

Between:

R. Chalapathi Rao, S/o. R. Suryanarayana, A.P. Genco, R/o. D.No. A.E.33, A.P. Genco Colony, Sri Sailam West, Mahabubnagar Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The General Manger (Administration) A.P. Genco, Vidyuth Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer, O & M, Srisailam Project, Srisailam West, A.P. Genco.
3 The Divisional Engineer, O & M Srisailam Project, S.L.B.P. S.P. Srisailam West, A.P. Genco.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or any other orders particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring that the proceedings in Memo No. G.M(Adm)/DS/(P)/AS(P)/PO-F2/1339/2003 dated 6-12-2003 issued by the 1st respondent herein in transferring the petitioner to the control of the Chief Engineer/O&M/Genl/SLBPSP/F.1/D.No.486/03 dated 6-12-2003 issued by the 3rd respondent herein is illegal, arbitrary and in violation of regulations of A.P. Genco and also in violation of Art. 14 and 16 of the constitution of India and further declare

that the petitioner is entitled to transfer the petitioner to Vidyuth Soudha Hyderabad or VTPS at Vijayawada in view of the pending W.P. No. 25319/2003 against the above corrupt officials and the period from the relieving date treated as spent on duty.

Counsel for the Petitioner: PARTY-IN-PERSON

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: SMT.K.SESHARAJYAM

The Court made the following :

ORDER

The learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the petitioner filed this writ petition questioning the proceedings in Memo No. G.M. (Admn)/DS/(P)/AS (P)/PO-F2/1339/2003, dated 06.12.2003 issued by the first respondent in transferring the petitioner to the control of the Chief Engineer/O&M/Genl/SLBPSP/F.1/D.No. 486/03, dated 06.12.2003 issued by the 3rd respondent. She further submits that subsequent to the filing of the writ petition, the petitioner was transferred to the place of his choice and therefore, the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the above submission, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

4th August, 2004

sj

To:

1. The General Manger (Administration) A.P. Genco, Vidyuth Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer, O & M, Srisailam Project, Srisailam West, A.P. Genco.
3 The Divisional Engineer, O & M Srisailam Project, S.L.B.P. S.P. Srisailam West, A.P. Genco.
4 Two C.D. copies.